# Wiltshire Highways Performance Management Framework Indicators









November 2019

# WILTSHIRE HIGHWAYS PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK SUMMARY

Network S	Safety Condition and Resilience	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19
NSCR01	Collisions – People killed and seriously injured			
NSCR02	Collisions – Slight Injury Accidents			
NSCR03	Road Skid Resistance			
NSCR04	Structural Condition of Carriageways			
NSCR05	Winter and weather response			
NSCR06	Bridges and Structures Condition			
Network A	vailability	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19
NA01	Low proportion of reactive maintenance			
NA02	Forward highway surfacing programme			
NA03	Forward structures programme			
NA04	Planned routine maintenance on programme			
NA05	Reducing number of potholes			
NA06	Reducing pothole safety defects			
Maintenar	nce for Sustainable Transport	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19
MST01	Footway conditions			
MST02	Dropped kerbs for pedestrians			
MST03	Pedestrian improvement schemes			
MST04	CATG schemes delivered			
MST05	Condition of traffic signals			
MST06	Rights of Way Improvement schemes			
Infrastruct	ure to Support Economic Growth	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19
ISEG01	A350 Chippenham dualling			
ISEG02	M4 Junction 17 Improvement			
ISEG03	A350 Yarnbrook/West Ashton			
ISEG04	Development of future Major Schemes			
ISEG05	Network Improvements from development			
ISEG06	Access improvements for developments			
Environme	ental Sustainability	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19
ES01	Reduction in street lighting energy			
ES02	Use of low carbon surfacing materials			
ES03	Recycling of road surfacing materials			
ES04	Flood prevention and drainage schemes			
ES05	Programme of tree and landscape works			
ES06				
Customer	Customer			2018/19
C01	Public satisfaction with road safety			
C02	Public satisfaction with road maintenance			
C03	C03 Public satisfaction with dealing with potholes			
C04	Public satisfaction with walking and cycling			
C05	Public satisfaction with tackling congestion			
C06	Public satisfaction with managing roadworks			

Green – On target or better. Amber – Close to target. Red – Below target

#### INTRODUCTION

The Performance Management Framework gives an indication of performance and trends in the highways service.

This document provides a summary of performance, and a one page description of each of the performance indicators, with an overview of the indicator, trends in the recorded performance to date, future targets and a description of how the indicator is measured and the source of the data.

#### 2018/19 TRENDS

The main trends identified in 2018/19 are outlined below.

The number killed and seriously injured on the county's roads increased significantly in 2018, whilst the number of slight injury collisions has decreased. The increase in the number of killed and seriously injured continues to be a concern.

Performance in connection with skid resistance of roads, carriageway and footway conditions, and traffic signals have all been assessed as fair, and are areas where further capital investment will be required in the future in order to address the maintenance backlog.

The number of rights of way improvement schemes carried out in 2018/19 increased considerably compared to previous years.

The number of potholes increased slightly in 2018/19 compared to the previous year, but the number of serious safety defect potholes reduced.

Overall public satisfaction with most aspects of the highway service remains close to the national average.

#### ASSET MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES

The Asset Management Objectives are described in the Wiltshire Highways Asset Management Strategy. The relevant Strategic Objectives and Key Performance Indicators are summarised below.

#### **Network Safety Condition and Resilience**

To reduce road casualties, improve road safety and the condition and resilience of the highway network.

Strategic Objective	Key Performance Indicator
To support and help improve the vitality, viability and resilience of Wiltshire's economy and market towns. (LTP SO1) To make the best use of the existing infrastructure through effective design, management and maintenance (LTP SO6). To improve the resilience of the transport system to impacts such as adverse weather, climate change and peak oil (LTP SO16). To improve safety for all road users and to reduce the number of casualties on Wiltshire's roads (LTP SO8)	NSCR01 - Accidents - People Killed and seriously Injured
	NSCR02 - Accidents - People Slight Injury
	NSCR03 - Road Surface Skidding Resistance
	NSCR04 - Structural Condition of Carriageway
	NSCR05 - Winter Maintenance
	NSCR06 - Bridges and Structures Condition

#### **Network Availability**

Minimise the impact of road works by ensuring works are planned and carried out at an optimal time.

Strategic Objective	Key Performance Indicator
To minimise traffic delays and disruption and improve journey time reliability on key routes (LTP SO4). To enhance the journey experience of transport users (LTP SO18)	NA01 - Planned Works versus Reactive Works
	NA02 - Forward Visibility of Surfacing Programme
	NA03 - Forward Visibility of Structures Programme
	NA04 - Planned Routine maintenance
	NA05 - Reducing number of Potholes recorded
	NA06 - Reducing Priority Safety Defects

#### **Maintenance for Sustainable Transport**

To provide a highway network that supports public transport and enables sustainable transport alternatives

Strategic Objective	Key Performance Indicator
To provide, support and/or promote a choice of sustainable transport alternatives including walking, cycling, buses and rail. (LTP SO2) To improve sustainable access to a full range of opportunities particularly for those people without access to a car. (LTP SO5) To reduce the need to travel, particularly by private car. (LTP SO13) To reduce barriers to transport and access for people with disabilities and mobility impairment. (LTP SO15) To improve sustainable access to Wiltshire's countryside and provide a more useable public rights of way network. (LTP SO17)	MST01 - Footway Condition
	MST02 - Dropped kerbs for pedestrians
	MST03 - Pedestrian Improvements
	MST04 - CATG Schemes
	MST05 - Traffic Signals
	MST06 - Rights of Way

#### **Infrastructure to Support Economic Growth**

To effectively plan for the management of new infrastructure required to support growth.

Strategic Objective	Key Performance Indicator
	ISEG01 - Delivery of A350 Chippenham Phase 3
To support planned grouth in Wiltebirg and	ISEG02 - Delivery of M4 Junction 17 Improvement
To support planned growth in Wiltshire and ensure that new developments adequately provide for their sustainable transport (LTP	ISEG03 - Development A350 Yarnbrook/West Ashton Scheme
SO12) To enhance Wiltshire's public realm and	ISEG04 - Development of future major schemes
streetscene. (LTP SO7)	ISEG05 - Network improvements from development
	ISEG06 - Access improvements for development

### **Environmental Sustainability**

To minimise the environmental impact of maintaining and operating the highway network.

Strategic Objective	Key Performance Indicator
To reduce the impact of traffic on people's quality of life and Wiltshire's built and natural environment. (LTP SO3)  To encourage the efficient and sustainable distribution of freight in Wiltshire. (LTP SO10)  To reduce the level of air pollutant and climate change emissions from transport. (LTP SO11)  To reduce the impact of traffic speeds in towns and villages. (LTP SO9)	ES01 - Energy Consumption for street lighting
	ES02 - Low carbon surfacing materials
	ES03 - Recycling of road construction materials
	ES04 - Flood Prevention Schemes
	ES05 - Highway Trees and Verges
	ES06 - Treating Noxious Weeds

#### Customer

To manage the highway network in a manner that supports our vision of placing customers first.

Strategic Objective	Key Performance Indicator	
Trust and Respect, Simplicity, Responsibility, Leadership, Working Together, Excellence (Behaviours Framework) Communicating effectively with the public (Communications Strategy) Place Customers First (Business Plan) Strengthen our communities (Business Plan)	C01 - Satisfaction with Road Safety	
	C02 - Satisfaction with Road Maintena	ince
	C03 - Deals with Potholes & Damaged	d Roads
	C04 - Satisfaction with Walking and C	ycling
	C05 - Tackling congestion	
	C06 - Satisfaction with Managing Roa	dworks

# Wiltshire Highways Performance Management Framework

## Contents

Network Safety Condition & Resilience 01: Accidents – People Killed and seriously injured	J. 7
Network Safety Condition & Resilience 02: Accidents – People Slight Injury	8
Network Safety Condition & Resilience 03: Road Surface Skidding Resistance (SCRIM)	9
Network Safety Condition & Resilience 04: Structural Condition of Carriageway	. 10
Network Safety Condition & Resilience 05: Winter Maintenance	. 11
Network Safety Condition & Resilience 06: Bridges and Structures (BCI)	. 12
Network Availability NA01: Planned works versus reactive works	. 13
Network Availability NA02: Forward visibility of Surfacing Programme	. 14
Network Availability NA03: Forward Visibility of Structures Programme	. 15
Network Availability NA04: Planned Routine Maintenance	. 16
Network Availability NA05: Reducing the Number of Potholes	. 17
Network Availability NA06: Repair of Priority 1 Defects	. 18
Maintenance for Sustainable Transport 01: Footway Condition	. 19
Maintenance for Sustainable Transport 02: Dropped Kerbs for Pedestrians	. 20
Maintenance for Sustainable Transport 03: Pedestrian Improvements	. 21
Maintenance for Sustainable Transport 04: Community Area Transport Group Schemes	. 22
Maintenance for Sustainable Transport 05: Traffic Signals	. 23
Maintenance for Sustainable Transport 06: Rights of Way	. 24
Environmental Sustainability 01: Energy Consumption	. 31
Environmental Sustainability 02: Low Carbon Asphalt Materials	. 32
Environmental Sustainability 03: Recycling of Road Construction Materials	. 33
Environmental Sustainability 04: Flood Prevention Schemes	. 34
Environmental Sustainability 05: Highway Trees and Verges	. 35
Environmental Sustainability 06: Noxious Weeds	. 36
Customer 01: Satisfaction with Road Safety	. 37
Customer 02: Satisfaction with Road Maintenance	. 38
Customer 03: Deals with potholes and damaged roads	. 39
Customer 04: Satisfaction with Walking and Cycling	. 40
Customer 05: Satisfaction with Tackling Congestion	. 41
Customer 06: Satisfaction with Managing Roadworks	. 42

# Network Safety Condition & Resilience NSCR01: Accidents – People Killed and seriously injured.

#### Overview

The purpose of this performance measure is to report on the effectiveness of the measures undertaken to meet the casualty reduction targets.

This measure is as defined in the road safety strategy.

Poor	Fair	Good	
Above target	On Target or close to target	Below Target	

Where Poor is defined as not meeting the Safety Strategy Target. Fair is an achievement in line with the Safety Strategy targets and Good signifies that the road safety targets are being exceeded.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

		Forward	d Targets			
14/15	15/16	19/20	20/21			
209	190	192	174	200	152	141

This measure is not affected by network hierarchy.

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

National and local aims to reduce accidents

#### Measure Details

This is an annual measure. This measure conforms to the Road Safety Strategy.

This measure is based on STATS19 Police Accident data.

Measure shows number of people killed each year. Excludes motorways and trunk roads.

Collision Reduction Policy aim is for a 40% reduction in killed and seriously injured based on the 2005-09 average by 2020 (calendar year).

Target for 2018/19 killed and seriously injured is 162. The actual figure is 200, which is significantly above the target. Performance is therefore assessed as Poor.

#### Network Safety Condition & Resilience NSCR02: Accidents – People Slight Injury.

#### Overview

The purpose of this performance measure is to report on the effectiveness of the measures undertaken to meet the casualty reduction targets.

This measure is as defined in the road safety strategy.

Poor	Fair	Good
Increasing slight accidents	On or close to Target	Decreasing slight accidents

Where poor is defined as not meeting the Safety Strategy Target. Fair is an achievement in line with the Safety Strategy targets. Good signifies that the Safety Strategy targets are being exceeded.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

		Forward	d Targets			
14/15	19/20	20/21				
1108	1105	1069	887	832	1105	1105

Targets to be reviewed, but currently assumed that it should be no increase in accidents in future years based on 2014/15 base year.

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

National and local aims to reduce accidents

#### Measure Details

This is an annual measure

This measure reflects the Road Safety Strategy and is the number of slight injury casualties.

This measure is based on STATS19 Police accident data. Excludes motorways and trunk roads.

Collision Reduction Policy aim is for a 40% reduction in killed and seriously injured based on the 2005-09 average by 2020 (calendar year). No specific aim has been adopted for slight injuries.

2018/19 shows a reduction in slight injuries compared to the previous year and performance is assessed as good.

#### Network Safety Condition & Resilience NSCR03: Road Surface Skidding Resistance (SCRIM)

#### Overview

The purpose of this performance measure is to report the percentage of the network with low skidding resistance.

This measure is part of the annual network condition survey. The level of performance for this measure is determined based on the following change in % of surveyed network below investigatory level.

Poor	Fair	Good
Increased %	Slight change or unchanged	Reduced %

Where poor is defined as percentage of the road surface below the investigatory level increasing, fair is unchanged of slight increase, and good is a reduction in %.

#### Trends

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual				Forward	d Targets	
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
28.4%	30.4%	30.98%	29.55%	30.83%	Reduce %	Reduce %

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action/Comment**

National and local aims to reduce accidents. Skid resistance is an important factor in road safety.

#### Measure Details

This is measured annually.

SCRIM Survey – surface skid resistance is measured in accordance with DMRB publication HD28/04. The SCRIM vehicle measures the friction between a tyre and the road under controlled slip conditions. Each section of the highway network is assigned a site category known as an investigatory level. The Council surveys the entire Group 1 network annually which consists of all A and B roads, and specific C and UC roads. This is approximately 1,097km, and is 24% of the network.

This information is also used by the Direct Management Group and the South West Highways Alliance for benchmarking.

Figure for 2018/19 is 30.83% which was a very slight decline from 29.55% in 2017/18, but is slightly better than 30.98% in 2016/17, and performance is assessed as Fair.

#### Network Safety Condition & Resilience NSCR04: Structural Condition of Carriageway

#### Overview

This performance measure is designed to determine the percentage of carriageway where maintenance should be considered soon.

The level of service for this measure is determined based on the following bandings.

Poor	Fair	Good
Increased %	Slight increase or unchanged	Reduced %

Where poor is defined as the percentage increasing, Fair is defined as slight increase in percentage or unchanged, and Good is defined as percentage decreasing.

#### Trends

Trends for this measure are:

Actual			Forward	Targets		
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
-	3.7%	3.7%	3.6%	4.7%	Reduce %	Reduce %

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Improved road safety and customer satisfaction

#### Measure Details

This is an annual measure derived from the annual Scanner survey of the highway network. Survey based on annually 50% of A class roads in both directions, 100% of B class roads in one direction, and 50% of C class roads and 20% of unclassified roads in one direction.

The information used to generate this measure is also used by the Direct Management Group and the South West Highways Alliance for benchmarking.

Measure is lane length with Scanner condition Red as a percentage of total length surveyed. It should be noted that the survey methodology may result in some fluctuations in these survey results over time.

2017/18 percentage was a slight reduction compared to the previous year and was assessed as good. However, the 2018/19 survey results show a slight increase in C class and unclassified roads where treatment should be considered. This result is considered as Fair and will need to be monitored.

The length of unclassified road being surveyed will increase considerably in 2019 and the target for those roads may need to be reviewed in future assessments.

#### **Network Safety Condition & Resilience NSCR05: Winter Maintenance**

#### Overview

This performance measure records the percentage of Winter Service treatment carried out within the prescribed the timescales.

The level of service for this measure is determined based on the following bandings.

Poor	Fair	Good
<90%	90% to 96%	96% to 100%

Where poor is defined as an achievement of less than 90%, fair is an achievement of greater than 90% but less than or equal to 96%, good is an achievement of 100% delivery.

#### **Trends**

Trends for this measure are:

Actual			Forward	d Targets		
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
-	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good

This measure applies to precautionary salting network only.

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Road safety, resilience and customer satisfaction.

#### Measure Details

This measure is a contract compliance requirement and included in the PMF as an annual figure.

The contractor/Client makes a record of all daily proposed and actual actions including all dates and times for each route and each treatment to produce the Performance Measure.

Year	Primary	Secondary
2015/16	30	5
2016/17	44	16
2017/18	80	27
2018/19	39	6

In 2017/18 there were significantly more treatments than in most years as result of the severe winter, but in 2018/19 the number of precautionary treatments required was similar to a typical recent year.

No major problems were noted with the operation of winter maintenance in 2018/19 and performance was assessed as good.

#### Network Safety Condition & Resilience NSCR06: Bridges and Structures (BCI)

#### Overview

This performance measure is a number of bridge condition factors amalgamated into a single condition indicator using the Bridge Condition Indicator (BCI) information.

The level of service for this measure is determined based on the following bandings.

Poor	Fair	Good
<65	>65 and <80	>80

Where poor is defined as less than 65%, fair is greater than or equal to 65% but less than 80%, good is greater than or equal to 80%

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual			Forward	d Targets		
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
-	84.13	84.56	86.00	85.34	80	80

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Need to ensure the Council's bridges are safe and fit for purpose.

#### Measure Details

This is an annual measure.

This measure is calculated using the latest General or Principal Inspection information from the Structures Management System and in particular the condition (severity/extent) information recorded against each structural element. The BCI is evaluated based on the 'Guidance Document for Performance Measurement of Highway Structures, Part B1: Condition Performance Indicator'.

Measure	2017/18	2018/19
BCI Average	88.41	87.81
BCI Critical	82.39	81.63
Blended (0.6 BCl Ave + 0.4 BCl)	86.00	85.34

For 2018/19: BCI average 87.81, BCI critical 81.63

Blended weighted average is 85.34 (0.6 BCI Ave + 0.4 BCI Critical weighted against deck area)

Based on the taget 2018/19 performance is rated as good.

#### Network Availability NA01: Planned works versus reactive works.

#### Overview

The purpose of the performance measure is to compare proportion of planned highway maintenance works to reactive works. Low proportion of reactive works is good.

The measure is the percentage expenditure of highway maintenance reactive work.

Poor	Fair	Good
Reactive work more than 15%	Reactive work 15%	Reactive work I5% or less

Where poor is defined Reactive work more than 15%, fair is 15% reactive work, and good is less than 15% reactive work.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual			Forward	d Targets		
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
N/A	9%	12%	13%	14%	15%	15%

This measure is not affected by network hierarchy.

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

An improvement is expected in the longer term as a result of the large highways capital maintenance programme. Forward target to be reviewed

#### Measure Details

This performance measure is the budget expenditure on reactive work such as pothole repairs and patching compared to expenditure on planned highway maintenance such as surfacing, reconstruction and surface dressing.

The performance measure is reviewed annually to calculate the NA01 measure.

Targets for future years will be reviewed next year.

2018/19 proportion of reactive road maintenance is estimated as 14% which is assessed as good.

#### Network Availability NA02: Forward visibility of Surfacing Programme.

#### Overview

The purpose of the performance measure is to measure the extent of the forward programme of planned highway maintenance works. Long forward programme is good.

The measure is the forward highway major maintenance programmed.

Poor	Fair	Good
Less than target	Close to target	Better than or on target

Where poor is defined less than target, fair is close to target, and good is on target or better.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual				Forward	d Targets	
14/15	14/15 15/16 16/17 17/18 18/19				19/20	20/21
N/A	1 year	1 year	2 years	5 years	5 years	5 years

This measure is not affected by network hierarchy.

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Need to identify an effective maintenance programme for the road network for future investment.

#### Measure Details

This performance measure is length of the forward planned highway maintenance such as surfacing, reconstruction and surface dressing. An outline 5 year programme exists but this measure refers to the more detailed scheme list.

The performance measure is reviewed annually to calculate the NA02 measure.

Target of a 5 year forward programme has been set from 2018/19. The updating of the 5 year programme was deferred from 2017/18 because of the introduction of the new Highways Infrastructure Asset Management System during 2018.

2017/18 programme had forward visibility of two years. In 2018/19 a five year programme was developed and consulted on with the Area Boards. Performance is considered to be on target.

#### Network Availability NA03: Forward Visibility of Structures Programme.

#### Overview

The purpose of the performance measure is to measure the extent of the forward programme of structures and bridges works. Long forward programme is good.

The measure is the forward structures and bridges programmed.

Poor	Fair	Good
Less than target	Close to target	Better than or on target

Where poor is defined less than target, fair is close to target, and good is on target or better.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual				Forward	d Targets	
14/15 15/16 14/15 15/16 14/15				15/16	14/15	
N/A	5 year	5 year	5 year	5 year	5 year	5 year

This measure is not affected by network hierarchy.

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

A detailed forward programme for structures and bridges work is required to support good asset management and lifecycle planning.

#### Measure Details

This performance measure is length of the forward planned programme of bridges and structures works. A programme with named schemes for 5 years is considered desirable.

The performance measure is reviewed annually to calculate the NA03 measure.

2018/19 programme has forward visibility of five years which is on target and good.

#### **Network Availability NA04: Planned Routine Maintenance**

#### Overview

The purpose of the performance measure is to measure the completion of various routine maintenance operations on programme.

The measure is the progress on delivering routine maintenance operations assessed annually.

Poor	Fair	Good
Not on programme	Close to programme	On programme or better

Where poor is defined as work not on programme, fair is close to programme or within 5%, and good is on programme or ahead of programme.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual			Forward	Targets		
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
N/A	Close to target	Close to target	Close to target	Good	On target	On target

This measure is generally not affected by network hierarchy.

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

It is important that routine operations are carried out to an agreed programme in terms of road safety, environmental impact and cost.

#### Measure Details

This performance measure reflects gully emptying, rural grass cutting and road sweeping. Measure could be extended to include urban grass cutting, lighting night scouting, bulk lamp changes and other programmed routine maintenance in future years when base line data established. The performance measure will initially be based on:

Maintenance Operation	Annual target (total)	Frequency	2016/17 Performance	2017/18 Performance	2018/19 Performance
Gully emptying (gully visits)	Target 34,560	New method of working introduced.	New Target set.	26,771 Below target	45875 Above Target
Rural grass cutting (exc for visibility areas)	11,488km	Once per year	Completed	Completed	Completed
Road sweeping town centre	7,519km	Fortnightly. Weekly in Salisbury.	Completed	Completed	Completed
Road sweeping residential	4,850km	Once per year	Changed target	Not Completed	Completed
Road sweeping Car parks	568,344sq m.	Twice per year	Completed	Completed	Completed
Road sweeping Rural	2,182km	Once per year	Not completed	Not Completed	Completed

In 2018/19 the planned routine maintenance operations were completed and performance has been assessed as Good.

#### **Network Availability NA05: Reducing the Number of Potholes**

#### Overview

The purpose of the performance measure is to measure the number of potholes meeting intervention levels. Reducing numbers of potholes is good.

The measure is the number of intervention level potholes filled annually.

Poor	Fair	Good
Potholes increasing	Potholes slightly above target	Potholes reducing

Where poor is defined as numbers of potholes increasing, fair is slightly above target, and good is pothole numbers reducing.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual			Forward	d Targets		
14/15	14/15 15/16 16/17 17/18 18/19				19/20	20/21
N/A	N/A	6822	10484	11426	Reducing number	Reducing number

This measure is not affected by network hierarchy.

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Improving road conditions should result in reduced number of potholes.

#### Measure Details

This performance measure is the number of intervention level potholes completed each year.

The performance measure is reviewed annually to calculate the NA05 measure.

2016/17 has been used as a baseline figure as recording processes have changed following award of new highways contract and introduction of My Wiltshire system.

Identified by	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19
Safety Inspection potholes	1994	2647	2520
Technician Inspection potholes	462	847	1098
Customer reports	1833	1961	1332
Find and fix pothole repairs	2533	5029	6476
Total	6822	10484	11426

The number of potholes repaired increased slightly in 2018/19. Performance assessed as poor.

#### **Network Availability NA06: Repair of Priority 1 Defects**

#### Overview

The purpose of the performance measure is to measure the number of safety defect potholes meeting safety intervention levels. Reducing numbers of safety defect (P1) potholes is good.

The measure is the number of P1 potholes annually.

Poor	Fair	Good
P1 Potholes increasing	P1 Potholes slightly above target	P1 Potholes reducing

Where poor is defined as P1 potholes increasing, fair is slightly above target, and good is P1 pothole numbers reducing.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual				Forward	d Targets	
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
N/A	N/A	707	816	643	Reducing number	Reducing number

This measure is not affected by network hierarchy.

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Improving road conditions should result in reduced number of P1 potholes.

#### Measure Details

This performance measure is the number of intervention level P1 potholes completed each year.

The performance measure is reviewed annually to calculate the NA06 measure.

Identified by	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19
P1 Potholes (safety Inspections)	383	421	355
P1 Potholes (technician Inspections)	110	150	129
P1 Potholes (customer reports)	214	245	159
Total	707	816	643

2016/17 has been used as the baseline figure as recording processes have changed following award of new contract and introduction of My Wiltshire system.

There was a reduction in the number of P1 potholes in 2018/19 mainly as a result of severe winter weather.

#### Maintenance for Sustainable Transport MST01: Footway Condition

#### Overview

This performance measure is designed to determine the percentage of footways where maintenance should be considered.

The level of service for this measure is determined based on the following bandings.

Poor	Fair	Good
>25%	>10% <25%	<10%

Where Poor is defined as >25% of surveyed footway length is considered as Structurally Unsound. Fair is defined as where between 10% and 25% of surveyed footway length is considered as Structurally Unsound. Good is defined as less than 10% of the surveyed footway length is considered as Structurally Unsound.

#### **Trends**

Measured previously in 2015/16. Percentage of total surveyed footway length considered as structurally unsound.

Actual			Forward	d Targets		
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
-	27.07	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Footway condition is not as good as desired in many instances as a result of underinvestment in previous years.

#### Measure Details

This measure uses the Footway Network Survey (FNS) data to identify those locations recorded as being Structurally Unsound.

The Council uses the structurally unsound footway condition data to identify and prioritise footway sites for treatment against the available budget.

Footway surveys are usually undertaken on a 4 year cycle. Footway condition data is recorded in 4 categories – As New, Aesthetically Impaired, Functionally Impaired (FI) and Structurally Unsound (SU).

SU assessment of condition in 2015/16 was 27.07 which is more in poor condition than 25% and was assessed as poor in 2015/16.

No additional surveys have been completed, but a budget of £1.25 million was included for 2017/18 footway maintenance, and progress is now being made on reducing the backlog. Budget was reduced for 2018/19, but has been increased for 2019/20.

Performance has been assessed as Fair for 2018/19 as some progress has been made on reducing the backlog.

#### Maintenance for Sustainable Transport MST02: Dropped Kerbs for Pedestrians

#### Overview

This performance measure is designed to determine the quantity of dropped kerb pedestrian access points installed per year.

The level of service for this measure is determined based on the following bandings.

Poor	Fair	Good
Less than 5 per year	5 to 10 per year	10 per year

Where Poor is defined as less than 5 sites per year, Fair is defined as 5 to 10 sites per year, and Good is more than 10 sites per year.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual			Forward Targets			
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
-	11	26	16	31	More than 10	More than 10

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Improved accessibility for all road users.

#### Measure Details

This measure records the number of dropped kerbs installed each year.

Dropped kerbs are installed via the Integrated Transport Programme in response to requests raised at the Community Area Transport Groups (CATG).

In 2018/19 there were 31 CATG schemes involving dropped kerbs to improve pedestrian access. This is less than in the previous year, but still above the target number.

The indicator is assessed as Good.

#### Maintenance for Sustainable Transport MST03: Pedestrian Improvements

#### Overview

This performance measure is designed to determine the quantity of pedestrian improvements installed per year.

The level of service for this measure is determined based on the following bandings.

Poor	Fair	Good
Less than 10	10 to 25	More than 25

Where Poor is defined as less than 10 sites per year, Fair is defined as 10 to 25 sites per year, and Good is more than 25 sites per year.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual			Forward	Targets		
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
-	29	18	15	42	More than 25	More than 25

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Improved pedestrian facilities to improve safety and encourage walking for shorter journeys.

#### Measure Details

This measure records the number of pedestrian crossing, footway improvements and pedestrian schemes implemented each year. Measure excludes dropped kerbs assessed under MST02.

Pedestrian crossings are installed via the Integrated Transport Programme in response to requests raised at the Community Area Transport Groups,

Future targets to be reviewed in due course, and may be subject to levels of Integrated Transport block funding from Department for Transport.

In 2018/19 there were 42 pedestrian schemes implemented. This does not include schemes being designed or in preparation.

Performance is assessed as Good.

#### Maintenance for Sustainable Transport MST04: Community Area Transport Group Schemes

#### Overview

This performance measure is designed to measure the number of Community Area Transport Group schemes investigated each year.

The level of service for this measure is determined based on the following bandings.

Poor	Fair	Good
Less than 60	60 to 80 per	Over 80 per
per year	year	year

Where Poor is defined as less than 60 sites per year, Fair is defined as 60 to 80 sites per year, and Good is more than 80 sites per year. Target revised in 2016/17 to reflect reduced Integrated Transport funding from DfT.

#### **Trends**

Trends for this measure are:

Actual			Forward	Targets		
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
-	106	66	114	237	More than 100	More than 100

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Improved accessibility for all road users and delivering local priorities.

#### Measure Details

Community Area Transport Groups (CATG) meet at least 4 times a year. Locally raised issues are discussed and considered by the CATG representatives and the

Schemes are investigated for feasibility, and if agreed, proceed to design and construction phases.

The types of schemes include signing and lining improvements, 20mph speed limits, traffic calming and similar schemes. This measure excludes dropped kerbs and pedestrian improvements assessed under MST02 and MST03.

Future targets may need to be reviewed in due course, and may be subject to levels of Integrated Transport block funding from Department for Transport.

In 2018/19 there were 237 sites progressed through the CATG process, which is a significant increase compared to the previous year, and is above the target. Performance is assessed as Good.

#### Maintenance for Sustainable Transport MST05: Traffic Signals

#### Overview

This performance measure is reporting the condition of traffic signals based on age of installation.

The level of service for this measure is determined based on the following bandings.

Poor	Fair	Good
Number in poor condition increasing	No major change in number in poor condition	Number in poor condition reducing

Where poor is defined number of signal units in poor condition increasing, fair is no major change, good is a reduction in number in poor condition. Currently based on age of units, with measure being those over 20 years old.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual			Forward	d Targets		
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
-	26.1%	23.0%	24.9%	26.0%	tbc	tbc

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Need to manage highway assets including aging stock of traffic signals.

#### Measure Details

Measure is based on sets of traffic signals greater than 20 years old, or greater than 20 years since major refurbishment or renewal.

Based on traffic signals data held in asset register.

Age of Unit	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19
20 years or more	26.1%	23.0%	24.9%	26.0%
20 – 11 years	44.6%	32.5%	31.3%	31.9%
Less than 10 years	29.3%	44.5%	43.8%	42.2%

Based on current data and rate of renewal the measure is estimated as Fair for 2017/18.

Measure will be reviewed as more detailed inventory data becomes available and will be subject to performance and reliability of units.

#### Maintenance for Sustainable Transport MST06: Rights of Way

#### Overview

This performance measure is designed measure footpath problems resolved and footpaths improved.

The level of service for this measure is determined based on the following bandings.

Poor	Fair	Good
Below target	Below but close to Target	Above target

Where poor is defined as number below target, fair is below but close to target, and good is on or above target.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are to confirmed:

Actual				Forward	d Targets	
14/15 15/16 16/17 17/18 18/19				19/20	20/21	
2799	2226	1518	1301	4122	tbc	tbc

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Access to countryside and improvements to rights of way.

#### Measure Details

Measure is based on number of path problems resolved and footpaths improved.

Number of paths improved in 2013/14 was 1816. This has been taken as the base year.

In 2014/15 there was a significant increase over previous year, and in 2015/16 the number was 2226. As this above the 2013/14 number the performance was rated as good.

In 2016/17 the need to make in year budget savings meant that only essential works were carried out in the second half of the year, and scheme numbers reduced to 1,518.

The number of schemes reduced to 1301 in 2018/18 because of staffing and resource issues.

In 2018 the number of schemes increased substantially to 4122, and performance is assessed as Good.

#### Infrastructure ISEG01: Delivery of A350 Chippenham Phase 3

#### Overview

The purpose of this performance measure is to report on the progress of delivering the improvements to the A350 Chippenham bypass improvements (Stage 3).

This measure reflects the progress being made through design, procurement and construction of the A350 works at Chequers Roundabout and Brook to Badgers Roundabout at Chippenham.

Poor	Fair	Good
No progress	Scheme on hold	Scheme progressing

Where poor is defined as no progress, fair is on hold or delayed, and good is scheme progressing on programme or ahead of programme.

#### Trends

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual				Forward	d Targets	
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
N/A	Design	Tender	Works	Completed	-	-

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action/Comment**

An established programme for the scheme is in place which envisages scheme completion by 2019/20.

#### Measure Details

This indicator is measured annually.

Scheme progress is measured against programme regularly through the Major Scheme Service Delivery Meetings, and is assessed annually for the ISEG01 measure.

2016/17 – Outline Business Case approved by SWLEP Board in November 2016. Detailed design progressed through remaining part of 2016/17 and tender procurement exercise undertaken in early 2017. Full Business Case approved by SWLEP Board in May 2017. Scheme constructed started in July 2017.

Scheme is now completed and indicator score is assessed as Good.

Measure to be reviewed for 2019/20.

#### Infrastructure ISEG02: Delivery of M4 Junction 17 Improvement

#### Overview

The purpose of this performance measure is to report on the progress of delivering the improvements to the M4 Junction 17.

This measure reflects the progress being made through design, procurement and construction of the M4 Junction 17 and A350/A429 works to facilitate development at Chippenham.

Poor	Fair	Good
No progress	Scheme on hold	Scheme progressing

Where poor is defined as no progress, fair is on hold or delayed, and good is scheme progressing on programme or ahead of programme.

#### Trends

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual				Forward	d Targets	
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
N/A	Design	Tender	Works	Completed	-	-

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action/Comment**

A programme for the scheme is in place which envisages scheme completion by 2019/20.

#### Measure Details

This indicator is measured annually.

Scheme progress is measured against programme regularly through the Major Scheme Service Delivery Meetings, and is assessed annually for the ISEG02 measure.

2016/17 – Outline Business Case approved by SWLEP Board in November 2016. Detailed design progressed through remaining part of 2016/17 and tender procurement exercise undertaken in early 2017. Full Business Case approved by SWLEP Board in May 2017. Scheme construction started 2017.

Scheme has been completed, and indicator score is assessed as Good.

Measure to be reviewed for 2019/20.

#### Infrastructure ISEG03: Development of A350 Yarnbrook and West Ashton

#### Overview

The purpose of this performance measure is to report on the progress of delivering the improvements to the A350 Yarnbrook and West Ashton Improvements.

This measure reflects the progress being made through design, and delivery of this scheme which is being provided in conjunction with development.

Poor	Fair	Good
No progress	Scheme on hold or slow progress	Scheme progressing

Where poor is defined as no progress, fair is on hold or delayed, and good is scheme progressing on programme or ahead of programme.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

	Actual			Forward	d Targets	
14/15	14/15 15/16 16/17 17/18 18/19			19/20	20/21	
N/A	Design	Design	Design	Design	tbc	tbc

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action/Comment**

The programme for delivery of the scheme will depend on progress of the housing and related development.

#### Measure Details

This indicator is measured annually.

Scheme progress is measured against programme regularly through the Major Scheme Service Delivery Meetings, and is assessed annually for the ISEG03 measure.

2018/19 – Developers are continuing to prepare detailed proposals as part of strategic housing site. Programme for delivery subject to outcome of planning application process.

In view of slow progress Indicator score is currently assessed as Good.

#### Infrastructure ISEG04: Development of future major schemes

#### Overview

The purpose of this performance measure is to report on the progress of delivering a programme of major schemes for construction in future years.

This measure reflects the progress being made on preparation and development of the major schemes programme, including bidding for funding.

Poor	Fair	Good
No progress	Scheme on hold	Scheme progressing

Where poor is defined as no progress, fair is on hold or delayed, and good is scheme progressing on programme or ahead of programme.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual					Forward	Targets
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
N/A	Develop ment	Develop ment	Develop ment	Develop ment	tbc	tbc

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action/Comment**

The programme for delivery of the scheme will depend on progress through the major schemes and LEP bidding processes.

#### Measure Details

This indicator is measured annually.

Scheme progress is measured against programme regularly through the Major Scheme Service Delivery Meetings, and is assessed annually for the ISEG04 measure.

2018/19 – Major schemes being developed to take advantage of bidding opportunities, including potential Major Road Network (MRN) schemes.

Development of proposals is progressing and indicator score is assessed as Good.

#### Infrastructure ISEG05: Network Improvements from development

#### Overview

The purpose of this performance measure is to report on the progress of delivering improvements to the highway network through development opportunities.

This measure reflects the progress being made on developing and delivering network and capacity improvements through planning applications.

Poor	Fair	Good
No schemes	Proposals on hold	Schemes progressing

Where poor is defined as no progress, fair is on hold or delayed, and good is scheme progressing on programme or ahead of programme.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual				Forward	Targets	
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
N/A	Schemes developed	Schemes developed	Schemes developed	Schemes developed	Schemes developed	Schemes developed

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action/Comment**

The programme for delivery network improvements as a result of development will depend on development opportunities.

#### Measure Details

This indicator is measured annually.

Scheme progress is reviewed annually in conjunction with the Development Control team, and is assessed annually for the ISEG05 measure.

2018/19 – Schemes being progressed to support development growth.

Schemes to improve the highway network are progressing and indicator score is assessed as Good.

#### Infrastructure ISEG06: Access improvements for development

#### Overview

The purpose of this performance measure is to report on the progress of delivering access improvements to developments.

This measure reflects the progress being made on developing and delivering access improvements to development sites through the planning process.

Poor	Fair	Good
No schemes	Proposals on hold	Schemes progressing

Where poor is defined as no progress, fair is on hold or delayed, and good is schemes progressing on programme or ahead of programme.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual			Forward Targets			
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
N/A	Schemes developed	Schemes developed	Schemes developed	Schemes developed	Schemes developed	Schemes developed

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action/Comment**

The programme for access improvements as a result of development will depend on development opportunities.

#### Measure Details

This indicator is measured annually.

Scheme progress is reviewed annually in conjunction with the Development Control team, and is assessed annually for the ISEG06 measure.

2018/19 – Schemes are being progressed through the planning and development process to provide access to various housing and other sites.

Schemes to improve access for development are progressing and the indicator score is currently assessed as Good.

#### **Environmental Sustainability ES01: Energy Consumption**

#### Overview

This performance measure is designed to determine the energy consumption from street lighting upon the highway network in Wiltshire.

The level of service for this measure is determined based on the following bandings.

Poor	Fair	Good
Energy usage increasing	No major change in energy usage	Energy usage decreasing

Where poor is defined as energy usage increasing, fair is no major change in energy usage, good is energy usage decreasing.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual			Forward	d Targets		
14/15 15/16 16/17 17/18 18/19				19/20	20/21	
-6.99%	-16.43%	-20.78%	-22.56%	-23.74%	tbc	tbc

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Energy price changes are key pressures on Local Authority budgets. Measures to reduce energy consumption such as changing to LED lighting, part night lighting and lamp dimming are increasingly important to reduce carbon footprint and costs.

#### Measure Details

This measure records the change in energy consumption for street lighting as a standard measurement based on Kilowatt Hours consumed per unit annually. Base line is 2013/14 consumption. Energy consumption as reported by Meter Administrator

In 2018/19 there was a further reduction in energy consumption per unit compared to the previous year, mainly as a result of increased use of more LED lighting. Performance continues to be considered good.

Further reductions are anticipated in 2020/21 as a major project to convert older street lighting units to LED starts.

#### **Environmental Sustainability ES02: Low Carbon Asphalt Materials**

#### Overview

This performance measure is designed to determine the percentage of new material laid in highway maintenance with low carbon materials.

The level of service for this measure is determined based on the following bandings.

Poor	Fair	Good
Below target	On or close to target	Above target

Where Poor is defined as below target, Fair is on or close to target and Good is above target.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual			Forward	d Targets		
14/15	14/15 15/16 16/17 17/18 18/19				19/20	20/21
0	17.9%	60.8%	25.9%	50.5%	50%	50%

This measure is not affected by network hierarchy.

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Advances in surfacing material technologies have created opportunities to produce lower temperature asphalts with benefits arising in sustainability through 25% reductions in carbon footprint compared to hot equivalents. Lower temperatures also reduce the risk of burns, fumes and steam which can impact on safety.

#### Measure Details

Measure is based on proportion of surfacing material which is 'Warm' Asphalt compared to more traditional 'Hot' material. Figures to be derived from major maintenance programme.

The target is to have 50% of material to be low carbon where feasible.

In 2016/17 60.8% of the material used was low carbon, which was a significant increase on the previous year. However, the volume reduced in 2017/18, but increased in 2018/19 and performance is currently assessed as Good.

#### **Environmental Sustainability ES03: Recycling of Road Construction Materials**

#### Overview

This performance measure is designed to determine the quantity of materials from highway schemes recycled as opposed to disposal to a licensed tip.

The level of service for this measure is determined based on the following bandings.

Poor	Fair	Good
Less than 70%	70% – 80% recycled	More than 80% recycled

Where Poor is defined as below target, Fair is on or close to target and Good is above target.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual			Forward	Targets		
14/15 15/16 16/17 17/18 18/19				19/20	20/21	
-	86.3%	98.6%	99.1%	99.8%	80%	80%

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Aim to improve sustainability, reduce waste and costs.

#### Measure Details

Indicator based on the percentage of planings from major maintenance schemes that recycled instead of being disposed of at tips.

This is an annual measure

Measures based on tonnes of planings recycled as a percentage of total. The volume of planings may vary from year to year, and schemes size may vary. Removal, or significant reduction, in budget for removing planings to recycling locations would be assessed as Poor.

Target for future years will be reviewed depending on type of resurfacing work being undertaken. A separate measure may be introduced for in-situ recycling.

There is currently a very high proportion of planings being recycled, particularly to rights of way. Current performance is therefore assessed as Good.

#### **Environmental Sustainability ES04: Flood Prevention Schemes**

#### Overview

The level of service for this measure is determined based on the following bandings.

Poor	Fair	Good
Decrease in number of schemes	Similar to previous year	Increase in number of schemes

Where poor is defined as a decrease in the number of schemes completed, fair is a similar number of schemes to previous year, and good is an increase in the number of schemes.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual			Forward	d Targets		
14/15	14/15 15/16 16/17 17/18 18/19				19/20	20/21
-	34	39	22	1/6/26	tbc	tbc

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Reduce flood risk for communities and improve road safety.

#### Measure Details

Indicator based on number of schemes to improve drainage or reduce flooding.

This is an annual measure

Measures is based on the number of schemes, but this is likely to vary from year to year, and scheme sizes may vary considerably. Removal or a significant reduction in drainage budget would reduce number of schemes and be assessed as be assessed as Poor.

There was a good programme of drainage schemes in 2018/19, which resulted in one major scheme and six minor schemes being delivered. In addition a further 26 sites were investigated.

2018/19 Schemes:

- 1 Major
- 6 Minor
- 26 Investigated

Whilst this is fewer schemes constructed than in previous years the scheme at Tilshead was a major scheme, significantly larger than previous schemes. In view of continuing works to reduce flood risk during 2018/19 performance is assessed as Good.

#### **Environmental Sustainability ES05: Highway Trees and Verges**

#### Overview

This performance measure is designed to measure the number of highway tree works and protected verge works completed each year.

The level of service for this measure is determined based on the following bandings.

Poor	Fair	Good
Reactive work only	Some planned work	Management of trees taking place

Where poor is defined as carrying out reactive work only, Fair is defined as mainly reactive but some planned work and Good is having a programme of tree and landscape maintenance.

#### Trends

Trends for this measure are:

Actual			Forward	Targets		
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
-	247	241	214	180	tbc	tbc

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Safety of road users, and preserving and improving the environmental value of highway trees and protected verges.

#### Measure Details

Trees are important for amenity and nature conservation reasons and should be preserved, but they can present risks to highway users and adjoining land users if they are allowed to become unstable. In England and Wales the highway authority is also responsible for ensuring that trees outside the highway boundary, but within falling distance, are safe. All trees within falling distance are collectively termed 'highway trees'. Section 154 of the Highways Act 1980 empowers the authority to deal, by notice, with hedges, trees and shrubs growing on adjacent land which overhang the highway, and to recover costs.

This is an annual measure

Measure is based on number of schemes, but this is likely to vary from year to year. Removal or significant reduction in highway tree maintenance budget would be assessed as Poor.

There is currently a good programme of highway tree maintenance work which is funded, with 180 sites completed in 2018/19, and the protected verge scheme continues to operate. Performance is therefore assessed as Good.

#### **Environmental Sustainability ES06: Noxious Weeds**

#### Overview

This performance measure is designed to determine the quantity of known noxious weed sites treated each year.

The level of service for this measure is determined based on the following bandings.

Poor	Fair	Good
Increasing	Steady State	Declining

Where Poor is defined as number of sites increasing, Fair is slight change, and Good is number of sites decreasing.

#### **Trends**

Baseline data for this measure is:

Actual			Forward	Targets		
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
64	79	67	82	84	Decrease	Decrease

This measure is not affected by network hierarchy.

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Legal requirement to control noxious weeds, and environmental considerations

#### Measure Details

This is a measure based on the number of sites being treated each year. The numbers do vary from year to year

Targets currently based on reducing the number of Japanese Hogweed sites being treated each year. Further measures for other weeds may be developed in the future.

The number of sites has not changed significantly in 2018/19, but is still higher than the 2014/15 base year figure. The measure has been assessed as Fair, but will need to be reviewed in 2019/20 if number of sites continues to increase.

#### **Customer C01: Satisfaction with Road Safety**

#### Overview

The purpose of this performance measure is to report the road users' overall perception of the highways and transport service with regard to road safety.

This measure is part of the standard NHT information and based on the Road Safety Theme Report.

Poor	Fair	Good
Below Average	Average or close to average	Above Average

Performance is compared to national average. Fair is within 2% of average.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual				Forward	Targets	
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
-	Close to Average	Close to Average	Close to Average	Close to Average	Above Average	Above Average

Based on 2016 National Highways and Transport Survey Questionnaire Results

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Improved public satisfaction with road safety

#### Measure Details

This measure is recorded from the National Highways & Transport Network Survey 'Road Safety Theme'

2018 Results Wiltshire Result is 53%. The National Average is 55%.

Current score is close to national average and scored as Fair

#### **Customer C02: Satisfaction with Road Maintenance**

#### Overview

The purpose of this performance measure is to report the road users' overall perception of the highways and transport service with regard to road maintenance.

This measure is part of the standard NHT information and based on the Highways Maintenance Theme Report.

Poor	Fair	Good
Below Average	Average or close to average	Above Average

Performance is compared to national average. Fair is within 3% of average.

#### **Trends**

National Highways & Transport Survey Questionnaire Results Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual				Forward	Targets	
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
-	Close to Average	Close to Average	Below Average	Close to Average	Above Average	Above Average

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Improved public satisfaction with road maintenance

#### Measure Details

This measure is recorded from the National Highways & Transport Network Survey 'Highways Maintenance Theme'.

2018 Results for Wiltshire was 47%. The National Average was 49%

Current score is close to the national average and is assessed as fair.

#### **Customer C03: Deals with potholes and damaged roads**

#### Overview

The purpose of this performance measure is to report the road users' satisfaction with the way in which the Council deals with potholes and damaged roads.

This measure uses the standard NHT results.

Poor	Fair	Good
Below Average	Average or close to average	Above Average

Performance is compared to national average. Fair is within 2% of average.

#### **Trends**

Based on National Highways & Transport Survey Questionnaire Results HMBI 13 comparison with County Councils

Actual				Forward	I Targets	
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
-	Below Average	Close to average	Close to average	Close to average	Above average	Above average

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

#### Measure Details

This measure is recorded from the National Highways & Transport Network Survey Question HMBI – 13 – Deals with potholes and damaged roads comparison with County Councils.

2018 – Wiltshire Average 28% National County Council Average 27%

Highest – 38%, Lowest – 18%

This information is also required for the Direct Management Group.

Current score is close to the national average, and performance is assessed as fair.

#### **Customer C04: Satisfaction with Walking and Cycling**

#### Overview

The purpose of this performance measure is to report the road users' satisfaction or dissatisfaction with the condition of cycle routes.

This measure is part of the standard NHT information and based on the Walking and Cycling Theme Report.

Poor	Fair	Good
Below Average	Average or close to average	Above Average

Performance is compared to national average. Fair is within 2% of average.

#### **Trends**

Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual				Forward	Targets	
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
-	Close to Average	Close to Average	Close to Average	Close to Average	Above Average	Above Average

Based on National Highways & Transport Survey Questionnaire Results

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Improved public satisfaction with road maintenance

#### Measure Details

This measure is part of the standard NHT information and based on the 'Walking and Cycling Theme' Report.

Compared to the Average Score of All Authorities in the survey for 2018:

2018 Wiltshire score is 52%. The National Average is 54%.

Current score is close to national average (within 3%) and assessed as fair.

#### **Customer C05: Satisfaction with Tackling Congestion**

#### Overview

The purpose of this performance measure is to report the road users' satisfaction or dissatisfaction with roadworks upon the Councils' highway network.

This measure uses the standard NHT results.

Poor	Fair	Good
Below Average	Average or close to average	Above Average

Performance is compared to national average. Fair is within 2% of average.

#### **Trends**

This measure is based on National Highways & Transport Survey Questionnaire Results for 'Tackling Congestion' Theme. Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual				Forward	Targets	
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
-	Average	Average	Average	Average	Above Average	Above Average

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Improved public satisfaction with road maintenance

#### Measure Details

This measure is recorded from the National Highways & Transport Network Survey and is an average score of the 'Tackling Congestion Theme' results.

Wiltshire score for 2018 is 47%. The National average is 47%.

Current score is at the national average and is assessed as fair.

#### **Customer C06: Satisfaction with Managing Roadworks**

#### Overview

The purpose of this performance measure is to report the road users' satisfaction with the way in which the Council manages roadworks on the highway network.

This measure is part of the Road User Survey and therefore uses the standard NHT bandings.

Poor	Fair	Good
Below Average	Average or close to average	Above Average

Performance is compared to national average. Fair is within 2% of average.

#### **Trends**

Based on National Highways & Transport Survey Questionnaire Results for Question TCBI 07 – The management of roadworks overall. Forward targets for this measure are:

Actual			Forward Targets			
14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
-	Above Average	Above Average	Close to Average	Above Average	Above Average	Above Average

#### **Driver for Change / Improvement Action**

Improved public satisfaction with management of roadworks

#### Measure Details

This measure is recorded from the National Highways & Transport Network Survey 'TCBI 07 The Management of Roadworks Overall'. The Wiltshire score is compared to the average for County Councils.

2018 - Wiltshire score is 49%. The National average score is 48% (Highest 53%, Lowest 43%).

The score is slightly above the national average and assessed as Fair.